A. Control Measure under Consideration	B. Summary Description of Measure	C. Program/Measure Status	D. Specific Implementation Date	E. VOC Reduction	F. Resources (FTE's, \$\$)	H. Additional Information			
Upper Savannah, SC (Designated - Attainment)									

DECEMBER 2006 LAURENS COUNTY

Based on stakeholder consultation and taking into consideration resource and political constraints, the following emission reduction strategies remain under consideration. The County will continue to evaluate the air quality within the county

ures under consideration.					
ed: Scott Holland, Director of Public Works, Jd Ozone Action Contact.		n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
ed: Laurens County has supported C in the promulgation of regulations aimed ing Ozone levels.	2003 to 2006	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
ed: Laurens County staff has been d to minimize mowing and motorized tion activities on Ozone Action days.	2003 to 2006	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
ed: Laurens County staff has been d to minimize indoor and outdoor painting e Action days.	2003 to 2006	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
ed: Laurens County staff has been d to ride share in all County operations er possible.	2003 to 2006	n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3
ed: Laurens County staff routinely inspects es repairs as need to assure proper of all vehicles and equipment.		n/a directionally sound	n/a directionally sound	n/a	See Comment #3

- 1. December 2004 SC EAC SIP activity not quantified for several reasons (first) in accordance with EAC Protocol, after all adopted Federal and State controls were accounted for in the modeling, it was determined that local controls were not necessary to demonstrate attainment of the 8-hour ozone standard. Measures were submitted by the local areas to show their continued support and commitment to the EAC process. (second) this activity is directionally sound and should provide air quality benefits and in some cases measurable results. The progress toward implementing this activity and the benefits derived will be documentd as a part of the ongoing reporting requirements.
- 2. December 2003 Progress Report See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac.html additional information provided by the county to include "findings", "advantages/disadvantages", "recommendations", "costs", etc...
- 3. March 2004 Local Early Action Plan See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0304.asp
- 4. June 2004 Progress Report See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0604.asp
- December 2004 Progress Report See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_1204.asp
- 6. December 2004 SC EAC SIP Including Appendix 8 (Local Early Action Plans and the Air Quality Awareness and Improvement Policy) and Appendix 16 (County Level Emission Reductions and Descriptions for the Ozone EAC Areas)- See http://www.scdhec.gov/egc/bag/html/eap sip.html
- 7. April 20, 2005 Correspondence to Mr. Palmer including clarifying supplemental information to the EAC SIP submittal of December 2004. See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_sip.html
- 8. June 2005 Progress Report See http://www.scdhec.gov/eqc/baq/html/eap_dpr_eac_0605.asp